

Attorney Docket No.: ChG_00107

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Inventors:

Suresh C. Srivastava, Satya P. Bajpai and Kwok-Hung Sit

Assignee of Part interest:

ChemGenes Corporation

Application Serial No.:

10/768,996

Filed:

January 30, 2004

Title:

NOVEL OLIGONUCLEOTIDES AND RELATED COMPOUNDS

Art Unit:

1642

Examiner:

Brandon J. Fetterolf, Ph.D.

CERTIFICATE OF EXPRESS MAILING UNDER 37 CFR 1.10

I hereby certify that this correspondence is included in an envelope with the United States Postal Service as Express Mail under Label No. EG 371685823 US on the date signed and addressed to:

Mail Stop Sequence, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Jopinder Anal

Y. Anand

10/19/20/8

Date

In the Specification

Please replace the sequence listing in the above noted application with the Sequence Listing enclosed herewith.

The enclosed Sequence Listing is submitted on paper as well as on a Compact Disc. The content of the Sequence Listing in CRF is identical to the Sequence Listing submitted on paper.

No new matter is introduced by this submission.

Dated: October 19, 2010

Respectfully,

Indu M. Anand

Registration Number: 52,557

15 Green Way

Chelmsford, MA 01824

(978) 250-9003/ (617) 930-5000



Notice to Comply

Application No. 10768996	Applicant(s) SRIVASTAVA ET AL.	
Examiner Misook Yu	Art Unit 1643	

NUCLEOTIDE SEQUENCE AND/OR AMINO	
Applicant must file the items indicated below within the time perito avoid abandonment under 35 U.S.C. § 133 (extensions of tim 1.136(a)).	
The nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosure containe requirements for such a disclosure as set forth in 37 C.F.R. 1.82	
1. This application clearly fails to comply with the requirement directed to the final rulemaking notice published at 55 FR 18 If the effective filing date is on or after July 1, 1998, see the (June 1, 1998) and 1211 OG 82 (June 23, 1998).	230 (May 1, 1990), and 1114 OG 29 (May 15, 1990).
 2. This application does not contain, as a separate part of the required by 37 C.F.R. 1.821(c). 	e disclosure on paper copy, a "Sequence Listing" as
3. A copy of the "Sequence Listing" in computer readable fo 37 C.F.R. 1.821(e).	rm has not been submitted as required by
4. A copy of the "Sequence Listing" in computer readable for computer readable form does not comply with the requirement the attached copy of the marked -up "Raw Sequence Listing"	ents of 37 C.F.R. 1.822 and/or 1.823, as indicated on
5. The computer readable form that has been filed with this unreadable as indicated on the attached CRF Diskette Prob must be submitted as required by 37 C.F.R. 1.825(d).	application has been found to be damaged and/or lem Report. A Substitute computer readable form
 6. The paper copy of the "Sequence Listing" is not the same Listing" as required by 37 C.F.R. 1.821(e). 	e as the computer readable form of the "Sequence
☐ 7. Other:	
Applicant Must Provide:	
An initial or substitute computer readable form (CRF) copy	of the "Sequence Listing".
An initial or substitute paper copy of the "Sequence Listing its entry into the application.	, as well as an amendment specifically directing
A statement that the content of the paper and computer include no new matter, as required by 37 C.F.R. 1.821(e) of	
For questions regarding compliance to these require	ments, please contact:
For Rules Interpretation, call (571) 272-0731 of For CRF Submission Help, call (571) 272-251 Patentln Software Program Support Technical Assistance.1-866-217-9197 or 703-Patentln Software is Available At www.USPT0	0 `
PLEASE RETURN A COPY OF THIS NOTICE WITH	I YOUR REPLY
Misook Yu SPE //M	isook Yu/

· E	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/768,996	SRIVASTAVA ET AL.
manus aminer-Initiated Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Misook Yu	1643
All Participants:	Status of Application	on:
(1) <u>Misook Yu</u> .	(3)	
(2) Indu Anand.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>4 October 2010</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Appli Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		·
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN CRF submitted on 01/31/2008 has been found to be flawed te stated that applicant would file a new CRF.		
Part III.	•	
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separat directly resulted in the allowance of the application. of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separat did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summ	The examiner will provide e record of the substance	a written summary of the subst e of the interview, since the inte
did not result in resolution of all issues. A biter summ	ially by the examiner appe	sais in Fait ii above.
		•
		•
/Misook Yu/		
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1643		,